

### Efficient Deep Learning for 3D Point Cloud

Dr. Bichen Wu Research Scientist Facebook Reality Labs







- Background
- Review of challenges
  - Modeling challenge
  - Data challenge
- Tackling the modeling challenge
- Tackling the data challenge
- Summary





# Background



### **Applications Powered by 3D Point Cloud**





Autonomous driving

Robotics

AR/VR

Adapted from:

https://www.flickr.com/photos/94549193@N00/4519088620 License: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/



### **Point Cloud Understanding**



- What does point cloud understanding mean?
  - Point-cloud understanding through semantic segmentation





### **Efficient Deep Learning for Point Cloud Understanding**





LiDAR point cloud

Deep Neural Net

Point-wise object labels (car, person, etc.)

- Key metrics:
  - Accuracy: essential for applications such as autonomous driving, AR/VR, etc.
  - Efficiency: Real-time speed, low energy on embedded processors





# Challenges



### **Modeling Challenges**



- A point cloud consists a set of points
  - Sparse
  - Irregularly distributed in the 3D space
  - Unordered
- While ConvNets are great for images, they are not suitable for point clouds.
- What kind of neural network models can process 3D point cloud?





### **Data Challenges**



- Deep learning requires a large amount of data, but annotating point cloud is challenging
  - Low resolution: Point-cloud sensors (such as LiDAR) have much lower resolution
  - Complex annotation operation: annotating objects in point cloud is harder than in images







### **Tackling the modeling challenge**





## **Projection-based methods**



### **LiDAR Point Cloud**



- LiDAR (Light Detection And Ranging) is an important sensor for autonomous driving
- An example: a Velodyne-64 LiDAR
  - Emitting lasers, and measure distances through time-of-flight
  - Emitting 64 rays per pulse, 2000 pulses per rotation, and 10 rounds per second







### **Projecting a 3D point cloud to a 2D sphere**





### SqueezeSeg: a 2D ConvNet for 3D Point-cloud



- Processing projected point cloud as 2D images
- Use an efficient 2D ConvNet (SqueezeNet) to predict point-wise labels
- Extremely fast:
  - >100 FPS on desktop GPU
  - >25 FPS on an embedded GPU





### **Result Visualization**





Video reference

#### Ground truth label map



Predicted label map

4 CEBO

RA P(IT



### SqueezeSegV2 & V3



- SqueezeSegV2:
  - Context Aggregation Module for better dealing with dropout noise



- SqueezeSegV3:
  - Spatially-Adaptive Convolution to deal with spatial variance in projected point clouds



Results



From SqueezeSegV1 to SqueezeSegV3:

- +20.8 pts accuracy
- Slower inference speed, but still faster than real-time (15 FPS)
  - Measured on Nvidia 10
    Titan X GPU, w/o
    speed optimization







### **Transformer-based methods**



### What About Point Cloud That Cannot Be Projected?



- Many point clouds cannot be conveniently projected to 2D
- Can we process point cloud directly as a set of points?



3D CAD models



Accumulated LiDAR scans

Image credit: S3DIS dataset



### **YOGO: Processing Point-cloud Using Transformers**



- Divide a point cloud evenly into sub-regions using the farthest-point sampling
- Process each point using multi-layer perceptron (MLP), locally aggregate features
- Use self-attention to exchange information across local regions



### **YOGO Results**



#### • Accuracy on-par with previous SOTA, but at least 3x faster

Method	Mean IoU	Latency	GPU Memory
PointNet [2]	83.7	21.4 ms	1.5 GB
RSNet [39]	84.9	73.8 ms	0.8 GB
SynSpecCNN [40]	84.7	-	-
PointNet++ [3]	85.1	77.7 ms	2.0 GB
PointNet++* [3]	85.4	236.7 ms	0.9 GB
DGCNN [41]	85.1	86.7 ms	2.4 GB
SpiderCNN [42]	85.3	170.1 ms	6.5 GB
SPLATNet [14]	85.4	-	
SO-Net [33]	84.9	-	-
PointCNN [4]	86.1	134.2 ms	2.5 GB
YOGO (KNN)	85.2	25.6 ms	0.9 GB
YOGO (Ball query)	85.1	21.3 ms	1.0 GB

Method	Mean IoU	Latency	GPU Memory
PointNet [2]	42.97	24.8 ms	1.0 GB
DGCNN [41]	47.94	174.3 ms	2.4 GB
RSNet [39]	51.93	111.5 ms	1.1 GB
PointNet++* [3]	50.7	501.5 ms	1.6 GB
TangentConv [43]	52.6	-	-
PointCNN [4]	57.26	282.43 ms	4.6 GB
YOGO (KNN)	54.0	27.7 ms	2.0 GB
YOGO (Ball query)	53.8	24.0 ms	2.0 GB



Chenfeng Xu, et al. 2021



### **Tackling the data challenge**



### **Data Challenges**



- Deep learning requires a large amount of data, but annotating point cloud is challenging
  - Low resolution: Point-cloud sensors (such as LiDAR) have much lower resolution
  - Complex annotation operation: annotating objects in point cloud is harder than in images







## **Building better annotation tools**



### **Improving Annotation Efficiency: Sensor Fusion**



- LiDAR point cloud has low resolution
- Solution: Use image-based detection to label LiDAR point cloud





### **Improving Annotation Efficiency: One-click Annotation**



- Annotating 3D point cloud is operationally complex
- Solution: Reducing the annotation operation to one-click



Original point cloud

Click

Grow

Bounding box estimation



### **LATTE: Accelerating LiDAR Point Cloud Annotation**



# LATTE: accelerated LiDAR annotation

- Sensor fusion: using images to assist annotation LiDAR
- One-click annotation: pointwise labels -> 3D bbox -> 2D top-view bbox -> one-click
- Tracking: using previous annotations to predict future ones
- 6.2x speedup in annotation!
- Paper published at ITSC2019



Open-sourced: https://github.com/bernwang/latte

Bernie Wang et al., ITSC2019





## **Training with simulated data**



### **Training Using Simulated Data?**



#### Can we obtain unlimited training data from simulation?



Car Model



Car Location





Car Orientation



Image



Number of Cars



Reference



Scene Background



Car Color







Time of Dav



#### Point Cloud



Xiangyu Yue et al, ICMR 2018 © 2021 Facebook

### **Training Using Simulated Data?**





Images

Depth map

Labels

• Accuracy drops significantly due to domain shift!

	Car accuracy (IoU - %)	
Trained on real data	57.1	
Trained on simulated data	30.0 (-27.1)	



### **Domain Adaptation**



Domain adaptation: techniques to bridge the domain gap between simulated data and real-world data:

- Learned Intensity rendering
- Feature alignment
- Batch statistics alignment

	IoU (%)
SQSGv1 on real data	57.1
SQSGv1 on sim data	30.0 (-27.1)
SQSGv2 on sim data w/ domain adaptation	57.4 (+0.3)





Bichen Wu, et al. " SqueezeSegV2: Improved Model Structure and Unsupervised Domain Adaptation for Road-Object Segmentation from a LiDAR Point Cloud", under review for ICRA19

© 2021 Facebook



## Summary







- Increasingly more applications are powered by computer vision on 3D point cloud
- In this talk, we discuss two challenges for CV for point cloud:
  - Modeling challenge: difficult to process sparse, un-ordered 3D points
  - Data challenge: difficult to annotate enough data
- Our solution:
  - Modeling:
    - SqueezeSeg-V{1, 2, 3} efficient point cloud modeling based on spherical projection
    - YOGO: processing point-cloud using transformers
  - Data:
    - LATTE (efficient annotation tool)



• Domain adaptation (training with simulated data)





#### Paper & code:

#### Modeling:

SqueezeSegV1: <u>https://github.com/BichenWuUCB/SqueezeSeg</u>

SqueezeSegV2: <u>https://github.com/xuanyuzhou98/SqueezeSegV2</u>

SqueezeSegV3: <u>https://github.com/chenfengxu714/SqueezeSegV3</u>

YOGO: <u>https://github.com/chenfengxu714/YOGO</u>

#### Data:

LATTE: <a href="https://github.com/bernwang/latte">https://github.com/bernwang/latte</a>

Data synthesis paper: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.00103</u>





# Thank you!

