Frontiers in Perceptual AI: First-Person Video and Multimodal Perception

Kristen Grauman University of Texas at Austin FAIR, Meta AI





The third-person Web perceptual experience







LabelMe (2007)



Places (2014)

A curated "disembodied" moment in time from a spectator's perspective



Caltech 101 (2004), Caltech 256 (2006)



ImageNet (2009)



MS COCO (2014)



Visual Genome (2016)

SUN (2010)

PASCAL (2007-12)



ActivityNet (2015)



Kinetics (2017)



AVA (2018)

First-person "egocentric" perceptual experience





First-person perception and learning

Status quo:

Learning and inference with "disembodied" images/videos.





On the horizon:

Visual learning in the context of agent goals, interaction, and multi-sensory observations.



Why egocentric video?





Augmented reality



Existing first-person video datasets

Inspire our effort, but call for greater scale, content, diversity





Existing first-person video datasets

Inspire our effort, but call for greater scale, content, diversity





Existing first-person video datasets





EPIC-Kitchens-100

Ego4D: A massive-scale egocentric dataset

Participants # Hours 3,670 hours of in-the-wild daily life activity

931 participants from 74 worldwide locations

Multimodal: audio, 3D scans, IMU, stereo, multi-camera

Benchmark tasks to catalyze research



[Grauman et al. CVPR 2022]

Ego4D: everyday activity around the world





Ego4D: FAIR + university consortium

Towards diverse geographic coverage



931 unique camera wearers

Towards diverse demographic coverage





Wearable cameras



We deploy a variety of head-mounted cameras.



Unscripted, daily-life scenarios

How people spend their days: US Bureau of Labor Statistics

Everyday activities in the home:

- Sleeping
- Daily hygiene
- Doing hair/make-up
- Cleaning / laundry
- Cooking
- Talking with family members
- Hosting a party
- Eating
- Yardwork / shoveling snow
- Household management care for kids
- Fixing something in the home
- Playing with pets
- Crafting/knitting/sewing/drawing/pai nting/etc

Errands

- Grocery shopping
- Clothes, shopping
- Getting car fixed
- Going to the bank • Walking the dog
- Washing the dog /
- pet, grooming horse
- Appointments: doctor, dentist, hair

Work

- Working at desk
- Participating in a meeting Talking on the phone
- Attending a lecture/class
 Listening to music
- Writing on whiteboard
- Video call
- Eating at the cafeteria
- Making coffee
- Talking to colleagues

Entertainment/Leisure

- Watching movies at cinema
- Watching tv
- Reading books
- Playing games / video games
- Attending sporting events watching and Cycling / jogging Dancing participating in
- Attending play/ballet
- Attending concerts
- Hanging out with friends at a bar
- Eating at a restaurant
- Eating at a friend's home
- Attending a party
- - BBQ'ing/picnics
 - Going to a salon (nail, hair, spa)
 - Getting a tattoo / piercing
 - Volunteering
 - Practicing a musical instrument
 - Attending a festival or fair
 - Hanging out at a coffee shop

Exercise:

- Going to the gym
- Yoga practice
- Swimming in a pool/ocean
- Working out at home
- - Working out outside
 - Walking on street
 - Going to the park
 - Hiking
 - Tourism

Transportation:

- Car commuting, road trip
- Bus
- Train
- Airplane
- Bike
- Skateboard/scooter

https://www.bls.gov/news.release/atus.nr0.htm

Ego4D: everyday activity around the world





Ego4D data: 3D environment scans

EGO4D@UNICT Examples

3D



Baker (A007 > 9.5 hrs of videos)

PERSONAL STREET











Available for 491 hours of video



FloorPlan





Ego4D data: multi-camera and eye gaze



Multiple simultaneous egocentric cameras







Ego4D annotations: text narrations

#C C picks up another putty knife from the white board



Dense descriptive text of each camera wearer activity + clip-level summaries

13 sentences per minute

4M+ sentences



Privacy and ethics

- **Review**: Each partner underwent separate months-long IRB review process, overseeing ethical and privacy standards for data collection, management, and informed consent.
- Consent: Forms signed by all recorded people where relevant
- **De-identification**: State-of-the-art de-identification processes, featuring both automated and manual reviews for faces, screens, credit cards, and other identifiers





Ego4D benchmark suite



Present



Audio-visual Diarization "who said what when?"



Social Interaction *"who is attending to whom?"*

Future



Forecasting *"what will I do next?"*

Ego4D challenges

Broad and growing participation at CVPR 2022, ECCV 2022, CVPR 2023









Hosted on EvalAI

https://ego4d-data.org/docs/challenge/



Source: Google Scholar Slide credit: David Crandall

Ego4D: computer vision and beyond





Ego4D team

Kristen Grauman, Andrew Westbury, Eugene Byrne*, Zachary Chavis*, Antonino Furnari*, Rohit Girdhar*, Jackson Hamburger*, Hao Jiang*, Miao Liu*, Xingyu Liu*, Miguel Martin*, Tushar Nagarajan*, Ilija Radosavovic*, Santhosh Kumar Ramakrishnan*, Fiona Ryan*, Jayant Sharma*, Michael Wray*, Mengmeng Xu*, Eric Zhongcong Xu*, Chen Zhao*, Siddhant Bansal, Dhruv Batra, Vincent Cartillier, Sean Crane, Tien Do, Morrie Doulaty, Akshay Erapalli, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Adriano Fragomeni, Qichen Fu, Abrham Gebreselasie, Cristina Gonzalez, James Hillis, Xuhua Huang, Yifei Huang, Wenqi Jia, Weslie Khoo, Jachym Kolar, Satwik Kottur, Anurag Kumar, Federico Landini, Chao Li, Yanghao Li, Zhenqiang Li, Karttikeya Mangalam, Raghava Modhugu, Jonathan Munro, Tullie Murrell, Takumi Nishiyasu, Will Price, Paola Ruiz Puentes, Merey Ramazanova, Leda Sari, Kiran Somasundaram, Audrey Southerland, Yusuke Sugano, Ruijie Tao, Minh Vo, Yuchen Wang, Xindi Wu, Takuma Yagi, Ziwei Zhao, Yunyi Zhu, Pablo Arbelaez, David Crandall, Dima Damen, Giovanni Maria Farinella, Christian Fuegen, Bernard Ghanem, Vamsi Krishna Ithapu, C. V. Jawahar, Hanbyul Joo, Kris Kitani, Haizhou Li, Richard Newcombe, Aude Oliva, Hyun Soo Park, James M. Rehg, Yoichi Sato, Jianbo Shi, Mike Zheng Shou, Antonio Torralba, Lorenzo Torresani, Mingfei Yan, Jitendra Malik



First-person perception and learning

Status quo:

Learning and inference with "disembodied" images/videos.





On the horizon:

Visual learning in the context of agent goals, interaction, and multi-sensory observations.



Ego4D: large-scale multimodal dataset



Recall: Ego4D text narrations

#C C picks up another putty knife from the white board



Dense descriptive text of each camera wearer activity + clip-level summaries

13 sentences per minute

4M+ sentences



Hierarchical video-language learning

Our idea: video-language embedding learning, representing the hierarchical relationship between action descriptions (what) and higher-level summaries (why)



Existing methods: match short clips to corresponding narrations (Lin et al. 2022; Miech et al. 2020; Bain et al. 2021....)

Standard Embedding --- Our Hierarchical Embedding

Kumar et al. CVPR 2023

HierVL

Our idea: video-language embedding learning, representing the hierarchical relationship between action descriptions (what) and higher-level summaries (why)



(what)



Kumar et al. CVPR 2023

HierVL

T-SNE



HierVL-SA

Kumar et al. CVPR 2023

Lin et al. Neurips 2022

HierVL on downstream tasks

Method	mAP
Actor [69]	20.0
SSDA [12]	23.1
I3D [12]	25.8
Ego-Exo [49]	30.1
EgoVLP [52]	32.1
HierVL-w/o Hier	<u>32.6</u>
HierVL-Avg (Ours)	<u>32.6</u>
HierVL-SA (Ours)	33.8

CharadesEgo Action Recognition

Method	Verb ED \downarrow	Noun ED \downarrow	Act. ED \downarrow
Ego4D baseline [32]	0.7389	0.7800	0.9432
Robovision [16]	0.7389	0.7688	0.9412
I-CVAE [57]	0.7526	0.7489	0.9308
HierVL-w/o Hier	0.7691	<u>0.7454</u>	0.9451
HierVL-Avg (Ours)	0.7223	0.7527	0.9401
HierVL-SA (Ours)	<u>0.7239</u>	0.7349	0.9275

Ego4D Long Term Anticipation

Pretrained HierVL features provide strong performance on multiple downstream video tasks

Zero-shot							
Method	mAP Avg	nDCG Avg					
EgoVLP [52]	16.6	23.1					
HierVL-w/o Hier	<u>17.8</u>	<u>24.1</u>					
HierVL-Avg (Ours)	16.7	23.5					
HierVL-SA (Ours)	18.9	24.7					
Fine-tuned							
Method	mAP Avg	nDCG Avg					
MI-MM w/ S3D [84]	29.2	44.7					
MME [79] w/ TBN [38]	38.5	48.5					
JPoSE [79] w/ TBN [38]	44.0	53.5					
EgoVLP [52]	<u>45.0</u>	59.4					
HierVL-w/o Hier	44.7	<u>59.8</u>					
HierVL-Avg (Ours)	44.9	<u>59.8</u>					
HierVL-SA (Ours)	46.7	61.1					

EPIC-KITCHENS Multi-Instance Retrieval *Kumar et al. CVPR 2023*

Listening to learn about the visual world



Object identity



Material properties

Emotion



3D space





Egocentric activities



Ambient scene

1 drum kit, 5 different spaces



Source: Shred Shed Studio

Spatial effects in audio





Factors from 3D environment:

- Geometry of the space
- Materials in the room
- Position of source and receiver

Agent's spatial hearing cues:

- Interaural time difference (ITD),
- Interaural level difference (ILD)
- Spectral detail (from pinna reflections)

SoundSpaces audio simulation platform

C. Chen*, U. Jain*, et al., SoundSpaces, ECCV 2020; C. Chen et al. SoundSpaces 2.0, NeurIPS 2022

We introduce the *SoundSpaces* audio simulation platform

- Visually realistic real-world 3D environments (Matterport3D, Replica, Gibson, HM3D...)
- Acoustically realistic (geometry, materials, source location) binaural sound in real-time, for waveform of your choice
- Room impulse response (RIR) for any source *x* receiver location
- Habitat-compatible

SoundSpaces: https://github.com/facebookresearch/sound-spaces



SoundSpaces audio simulation

C. Chen*, U. Jain*, et al., SoundSpaces, ECCV 2020 & SoundSpaces 2.0, NeurIPS 2022



Agent view

Top-down map (unknown to the agent)

Listen with headphones online for spatial sound experience http://vision.cs.utexas.edu/projects/audio_visual_navigation/

Recovering the shape of the scene

Daredevil (2003), Character Matt Murdock "sees" by listening

Our idea: VisualEchoes feature learning via echolocation

Goal: Learn image representation via echolocation to benefit downstream (visual-only) spatial tasks



Monocular depth prediction

Surface normal estimation

Visual navigation

Key insight: supervision from acoustically interacting with the physical world.

Gao et al. ECCV 2020

Echolocation in SoundSpaces

Emit a chirp at the receiver position and capture the resulting echoes



Freq Sweep



Gao et al. ECCV 2020

VisualEchoes approach

Learn visual representation from (in)congruence of echo and view



VisualEchoes for downstream tasks

Pre-train monocular depth prediction CNN with VisualEchoes No audio input, test on real images (NYU-V2 dataset)



[Gao et al., ECCV 2020]

Hu et al., Revisiting single image depth estimation, WACV 2019

VisualEchoes for downstream tasks



Monocular depth prediction



Surface normal estimation



		$\text{RMS}\downarrow$	$ \text{REL}\downarrow $	$\log 10 \downarrow$	$\left \delta < 1.25 ~\uparrow\right.$	$\delta < 1.25^2 ~\uparrow~$	$\delta < 1.25^3 ~\uparrow~$
dn	ImageNet Pre-trained	0.555	0.126	0.054	0.843	0.968	0.991
$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	MIT Indoor Scene Pre-trained	0.711	0.180	0.075	0.730	0.925	0.979
dns	Scratch	0.804	0.209	0.086	0.676	0.897	0.967
Un	VISUALECHOES (Ours)	0.683	0.165	0.069	0.762	0.934	0.981

Mean Dist. \downarrow Median Dist. \downarrow $| t < 11.25^{\circ} \uparrow | t < 22.5^{\circ} \uparrow | t < 30^{\circ} \uparrow$

dn	ImageNet Pre-trained	26.4	17.1	36.1	59.2	68.5
MIT Indoor Scene Pre-trained		25.2	17.5	36.5	57.8	67.2
dns	Scratch	26.3	16.1	37.9	60.6	69.0
Un	VISUALECHOES (Ours)	22.9	14.1	42.7	64.1	72.4

SPL \uparrow |Distance to Goal \downarrow |Normalized Distance to Goal \downarrow

g. Im	ageNet Pre-trained	0.833	0.663	0.081
∽ MIT In	door Scene Pre-trained	0.798	1.05	0.124
dns	Scratch	0.830	0.728	0.096
D VISI	UALECHOES (Ours)	0.856	0.476	0.061

Competitive with (or even better than) supervised pre-training!

[Gao et al., ECCV 2020]



Given a short video, can we infer the layout of the entire home?

Kristen Grauman, FAIR & UT Austin

Purushwalkam et al., ICCV 2021

Supervised training of a multi-modal encoder-decoder network



(Ours) AV-Map

Occ Ant [32]



True Pos. True Neg. False Pos. False Neg.

SoTA visual mapping that extrapolates to unseen areas [Ramakrishnan et al. ECCV 2020]

Purushwalkam et al., ICCV 2021



Kristen Grauman, FAIR & UT Austin

Purushwalkam et al., ICCV 2021

Listening to learn about the visual world



Object identity



Material properties

Emotion



3D space





Egocentric activities



Ambient scene



Cocktail-party problem

Self-supervising audio source separation: "Mix-and-Separate"



Simpson et al. 2015; Huang et al. 2015; Yu et al. 2017; Ephrat et al. 2018; Owens & Efros 2018; Zhao et al. 2018; Afouras et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2019; Gao et al. 2019

Facial appearance hints at voice qualities



Prior work learns cross-modal face-voice embeddings for person identification.

[Nagrani et al. ECCV'18, Nagrani et al. CVPR'18, Kim et al. ACCV'18, Chung et al. ICASSP'19, Wen et al. ICLR'19]

Our idea: Mutually beneficial tasks!



Cross-modal face-to-voice matching

[Gao & Grauman, CVPR 2021]

VisualVoice

Jointly learn audio-visual speech separation and cross-modal face-voice embeddings



[[]Gao & Grauman, CVPR 2021]

Speech mixture

Separated voice for the right speaker

Separated voice for the left speaker

Speech with background noise

PEREZ on her lap The Carles Alteren

TENEZ and Grandmiether Juliana (Julianite my Materiment to her standing my the submext to her standing my the Enez- Vuele Felipes Daughter

Enhanced speech

to Right My Motion er lap The Carlos

et mext to her standsez- unelt Felipes



Speech with background noise

53710



VisualVoice vs. prior state-of-the-art methods

	С	abbay <i>et al</i> .	Hou e	et al.	Ephrat <i>et al</i> .	Ours		
PESQ		2.25	2.4	2.42 2.50		2.51		
STOI		_	0.6	66	0.71	0.75		
SDR		_	2.8	80	6.10	6.69		
	(a) Results on Mandarin dataset.							
Gabbay <i>et al.</i> Ephrat <i>et al.</i> Ours								
SDR 0.40 4.10		4.10	10.9					
PESO	2	2.03	2.42		2.91			
(b) Results on TCD-TIMIT dataset.								
	Ca	sanovas <i>et al</i> .	Pu e	et al.	Ephrat <i>et al</i> .	Ours		
SDR		7.0	6	.2	12.6	13.3		

(c) Results on CUAVE dataset.

	Afouras et a	l. Afouras <i>et al</i> .	Ours		
SDR	11.3	10.8	11.8		
PESQ 3.0		3.0	3.0		
(d) Results on LRS2 dataset.					
Chung <i>et al.</i> Ours (static face) Ou					
SDR	2.53	7.21	10.2		
5DR	2.33	, , _ 1	1002		

(e) Results on VoxCeleb2 dataset.

Our method improves the state-of-the-art on all five datasets.

Summary

Kristen Grauman UT Austin and FAIR grauman@cs.utexas.edu

Towards embodied multimodal first-person perception

- Ego4D: massive multimodal first-person data and benchmark
- Hierarchical vision-language embedding to capture goals with actions
- Inferring the shape of a scene with echoes, sounds, and vision
- Audio-visual source separation to listen to voice of interest





Changan Chen

Ruohan Senthil Gao Purushwalkam



Kumar



Al-Halah





Rohit Girdhar

Lorenzo Torresani